

**From:** Historic Environment <[historic.environment@hants.gov.uk](mailto:historic.environment@hants.gov.uk)>

**Sent:** 20 October 2020 09:11

**To:** Wright, Richard <[RWright@Fareham.Gov.UK](mailto:RWright@Fareham.Gov.UK)>

**Cc:** Development Management <[devcontrol@fareham.gov.uk](mailto:devcontrol@fareham.gov.uk)>

**Subject:** RE: Fareham Borough Council - Consultation: Land to the south of Funtley Road, Funtley

Dear Mr. Wright,

**Consultation: Land to the south of Funtley Road, Funtley**

Thank you for your consultation. The proposed development site lies to the west of the historic core of Funtley, in an area of known archaeological activity relating to both the prehistoric periods and post-medieval industry (mineral extraction and brickworks).

The archaeological Desk-Based Assessment (DBA) submitted with this application highlights low to moderate potential for 'Prehistoric settlement evidence', good evidence for archaeology of the modern period (relating to a 20<sup>th</sup> century brickworks shown on the site in historic mapping) and low potential for all other periods. I would tend to agree with this assessment; however, I note that the DBA states that no Neolithic archaeology is recorded within the study area. Although this is true with regards to the limit study area, within 100m of the edge of the study area the remains of a Neolithic long barrow have been identified, indicating a greater likelihood of wider archaeological activity of this period in the area than is alluded to within the DBA.

The DBA concludes that the proposed development 'has the potential to impact upon archaeological remains of no more than a local significance' (para 6.4) and that archaeological mitigation measures 'can follow planning permission secured by an appropriately worded archaeological planning condition' (para 6.5). I would agree with these conclusions and endorse the submitted archaeological Desk-Based Assessment to you. I agree that archaeology would not present an overriding concern but would advise that suitably worded conditions be attached to any planning permission granted. For example:

*1) That no development shall take place until the applicant has secured the implementation of a programme of archaeological evaluation in accordance with a Written Scheme of Investigation that has been submitted to and approved by the Planning Authority.*

*Reason: To assess the extent, nature and date of any archaeological deposits that might be present and the impact of the development upon these heritage assets.*

*2) That no development shall take place until the applicant has secured the implementation of a programme of archaeological mitigation of impact, based on the results of the trial trenching, in accordance with a Written Scheme of Investigation that has been submitted to and approved by the Planning Authority.*

*Reason: To mitigate the effect of the works associated with the development upon any heritage assets and to ensure that information regarding these heritage assets is preserved by record for future generations.*

*3) Following completion of archaeological fieldwork a report will be produced in accordance with an approved programme submitted by the developer and approved in writing by the local planning authority setting out and securing appropriate post-excavation assessment, specialist analysis and reports, publication and public engagement.*

*Reason: To contribute to our knowledge and understanding of our past by ensuring that opportunities are taken to capture evidence from the historic environment and to make this publicly available.*

With regards to the 20<sup>th</sup> century brickworks, shown as being present on the site in historic mapping, it is important that its archaeological value, or lack thereof, is considered in the context of local and regional research frameworks. This should have an impact in the design of any scheme of mitigation devised for the site. Extraction of clays, associated to the brickworks, is likely to have occurred else where on site. This type of activity would have removed any archaeological potential and, if accurately identified, could be excluded from a scheme of archaeological mitigation.

If you have any further question regarding this consultation, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely,

**Thom Hayes BA(Hons) MRes, ACIfA**  
**Senior Archaeologist**

Hampshire County Council  
Specialist Environmental Services  
Economy, Transport and Environment Department  
Elizabeth II Court West, The Castle, Winchester SO23 8UD  
Email: [thom.hayes@hants.gov.uk](mailto:thom.hayes@hants.gov.uk)  
Phone: 0370 779 3795

---

**From:** [devcontrol@fareham.gov.uk](mailto:devcontrol@fareham.gov.uk) <[devcontrol@fareham.gov.uk](mailto:devcontrol@fareham.gov.uk)>

**Sent:** 19 October 2020 14:04

**To:** Historic Environment <[historic.environment@hants.gov.uk](mailto:historic.environment@hants.gov.uk)>

**Subject:** Fareham Borough Council - Consultation: Land to the south of Funtley Road, Funtley

I am writing to consult you on a planning application I have received (P/20/1168/OA). Details of the application and how to respond are in the attached PDF document.

Please do not hesitate to contact me should you wish to discuss this.

Richard Wright  
Principal Planner (Development Management)  
Fareham Borough Council  
01329 824758

This email (and its attachments) is intended only for the use of the person(s) to whom it is addressed and may contain information which is privileged and/or confidential. If it has come to you in error, you must take no action based on it nor must you copy or show it to anyone.

This email is confidential but may have to be disclosed under the Freedom of Information Act 2000, the Data Protection Act 2018 or the Environmental Information Regulations 2004. If you are not the person or organisation it was meant for, apologies. Please ignore it, delete it and notify us. Emails may be monitored.